

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT  
COMMITTEE HELD via TEAMS, ON WEDNESDAY,  
2 DECEMBER 2020**

<b>Members in attendance</b> * Denotes attendance ∅ Denotes apologies			
*	Cllr V Abbott	*	Cllr K Kemp
*	Cllr J Brazil (Chairman)	*	Cllr M Long
*	Cllr D Brown	*	Cllr G Pannell
∅	Cllr R J Foss (Vice Chair)	*	Cllr K Pringle
*	Cllr J M Hodgson (am only)	*	Cllr R Rowe
*	Cllr T R Holway	*	Cllr B Taylor
*	Cllr H Reeve (substitute for Cllr Foss)		

**Other Members also in attendance and participating:**

Cllrs H Bastone, J Birch, J Hawkins and J Pearce

**Officers in attendance and participating:**

Item No:	Application No:	Officers:
All agenda items		Head of Planning; Senior Planning Specialists; Monitoring Officer; Deputy Monitoring Officer; and Democratic Services Manager
DM.37/20 – 7a) and 7b)		Ecology Officer

**DM.33/20 MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 4 November 2020 were confirmed by the Committee as a true and correct record.

**DM.34/20 APPOINTMENT OF VICE CHAIRMAN**

Since Cllr Foss had tendered his apologies for this meeting, the Chairman invited nominations to serve as Vice-Chairman for this Committee meeting.

It was subsequently:

**RESOLVED**

That Cllr Holway be appointed to be Vice-Chairman for the duration of this Committee Meeting.



The Biodiversity Officer had raised concerns regarding the western hedge as this was a bat fly zone, but the amended plans, with reduced tree loss, had seen these concerns lifted;

Devon County Council Highways originally raised concerns about the layout which had been resolved with the amended plans. However, there remained concerns regarding the amount of free parking for the Health and Wellbeing Hub, which was felt could be insufficient. Therefore DCC had asked for a Section 106 Agreement to be entered into whereby, if parking over-spilled into nearby residential areas then, a contribution would be given to implement a residents' permit scheme. Some local residents had expressed concern over the out of town location but the area was noted as being well served by public transport (although this was disputed by the Objector) with other services nearby giving the area sustainability in its own right.

Speakers included: Objector – Ms L Gunnigle; Ward Members – Cllrs H Bastone, J Hawkins, and R Rowe;

**Committee Decision:** That approval be delegated to the Head of Development Management, in conjunction with the Chairman of the Committee to conditionally grant planning permission, subject to a Section 106 legal obligation for the following: A contribution towards the design and implementation for a residents' parking scheme on surrounding streets, at any time over the next 10 years, should on street parking for the use of this facility spill into such areas.

(NB. in the event that the Section 106 legal Agreement remains unsigned six months after this resolution, that the application would be reviewed by the Head of Development Management in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee, and, if no progress were made, delegated authority would be given to the Head of Development Management to refuse the application in the absence of an agreed S106 Agreement).

Conditions:

1. Time limit
2. Accord with plans
3. No external lighting until agreed with LPA
4. Adherence to Ecology report
5. Unexpected contamination
6. Protection of hedgerows

7. No removal of hedgerow
8. Cycle parking in place before occupation
9. Parking to be completed prior to occupation
10. Surfacing of P& R to be complete prior to it being brought into use.
11. Tree protection scheme to be agreed
12. Landscaping scheme to be submitted and agreed.
13. Landscape maintenance schedule
14. Archaeology – written scheme of investigation
15. Samples of materials upon slab level
16. Refuse provision
17. Fencing details
18. CMP
19. Percolation testing
20. Groundwater monitoring
21. Design of surface water management scheme to be submitted
22. Design of surface water management during construction
23. Details of adoption and maintenance arrangements
24. Details of carbon reduction measures
25. Details of cycle way
26. Levels of building
27. Protection measures for T14
28. Details of low carbon measures

**7b) 4063/19/FUL**

**Sandnes, Beadon Road, Salcombe, TQ8 8LU**

**Town Council: Salcombe**

**Development: Replacement dwelling with associated landscape works  
(amendment to design previously approved 1125/17/FUL)**

Case Officer Update:

Since the report had been finalised, a further 25 additional representations had been received, including from the South Hams Society – all in objection but with no new issues raised. The objections were appropriateness of design, amount of glass, impact on ecology, and ongoing concerns over the Construction Management Plan. Update ref. conditions – condition number 5) pre-commencement condition relating to ecology now had reason for pre-commencement, and condition 11) carbon reduction – details of air source heat pump had now been received, so the condition would be re-worded to take this into account and ensure the condition was appropriate and work would be carried out as required.

The Solicitor clarified a typographical error on the presented agenda report under the relevant planning history, where the replacement dwelling expiry date for permission was extended until 1<sup>st</sup> May 2021 rather than 1<sup>st</sup> May 2020 as had been detailed in the report.

**Speakers included:** Objector – Mr M Smout; Supporter – Mr P Andrews; Town Council: Cllr M Fice; Ward Members – Cllrs M Long and J Pearce

**Debate:** During the debate, much discussion was had around the size of the lane and the size of construction vehicles to be used. A number of Members were of the view that a further condition should be added whereby the Construction Management Plan should require a topographical survey to be undertaken before commencement and after completion, and the road should be returned to pre-commencement condition after build. The view was also expressed that the Construction Management Plan would be slightly amended to show deliveries would not be made during school holidays.

Some Members felt that approval should be dependent upon receipt of plans showing that Solar PV cells were added to the application.

**Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

**Committee Decision:** That approval be delegated to the Head of Development Management, in consultation with the Committee Chairman and the local Ward Members, subject to the receipt of a topographical study that supported the contents of the Construction Management Plan and the imposition of the following conditions.

Conditions:

1. Standard three year time limit for commencement of development
2. Development to be carried out in accordance with approved drawings
3. Implementation of landscape proposals
4. Ecology (light spill)
5. Restriction of works until confirmation a licence has been provided
6. Removal of PD rights
7. Unsuspected contamination
8. Restriction on external lighting
9. Surface water drainage
10. Foul drainage
11. Low carbon
12. Trees

**7c) 1770/20/FUL                      Land at SX 726 406, Malborough, associated with  
Land at Lower Mill Park, West Alvington**

**Parish: Malborough**

**Development:** Readvertisement (revised site description) Provision for general purpose agricultural building.

**Case Officer Update:**                      At the request of a Member, the area of the site was confirmed as 0.54 hectares with Ilton Copse at 0.42 hectares and also belonged to the applicant. Applicant's total land ownership was shown and defined as 6.44 hectares. Application was for agricultural building within newly planted forested area. A late letter of representation had been received from the South Hams Society regarding Permitted Development rights and fallback position.

**Speakers included:**                      Supporter – Ms A Burden; Parish Council – Cllr J Yeoman; Ward Members – Cllrs M Long and J Pearce

During the debate, the impact on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) was highlighted and some Members highlighted the lack of a clear business plan. As a result, the agricultural need was felt to be questionable in such a prominent location. Earth works that had already been carried out had impacted upon the availability of agricultural land for use. Some Members felt that the application did not meet the requirements within the local Neighbourhood Plans. Some Members felt that there was no proof that this was a necessary building and it did not enhance the AONB.

**Recommendation:**                      Conditional Approval

**Committee decision:**                      Refusal

**Reasons:**

1. The proposals did not demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the proposed building was reasonably required to support the necessary needs of agriculture in the AONB and undeveloped coastline;
2. The considerable visual impact and local landscape character would not preserve or enhance the AONB; and
3. The proposals were contrary to policies TTV1, TTV26, DEV15, DEV23, DEV24, DEV25 of JLP, and NPPF (not limited to paragraph 172), and to the Marlborough Neighbourhood Plan.

**7d) 2840/20/FUL                      Higher Hareston Brixton**

**Parish: Brixton**

**Development:** change of use of land for the provision of two pods for self-catering holiday purposes

Case Officer Update: No updates.

Speakers included: Supporter – Mr R Bassett; Ward Member – Cllr D Brown

**Recommendation:** Refusal

During the debate, the relevance of Policy DEV 15 (supporting the rural economy) was discussed, as was the proximity of the application site to both the new town of Sherford and to the village of Brixton. Members felt that diversification against sustainability was at the crux of the decision and the majority view was that the associated benefits of the proposals outweighed the recommended reasons for refusal. In particular, some Members disagreed with the views of the case officer that the site should be considered to be unsustainable for such an application.

**Committee decision:** Conditional approval

Conditions:

1. Standard three year time limit for commencement of development;
2. Development to be carried out in accordance with approved drawings;
3. Holiday lets solely to be used for holiday accommodation only;
4. Within 28 days of the units no longer being required for holiday accommodation, the units shall be removed from the site and the land restored to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority;
5. Surface water drainage; and
6. Foul drainage system details.

**Reason(s):**

The majority of Members were of the view that the access from the application site to the village of Brixton was acceptable.

## DM.38/20 **PLANNING APPEALS UPDATE**

Members noted the list of appeals as outlined in the presented agenda report.

The Head of Development Management (DM) provided further details on specific recent appeal decisions. When questioned, clarification was given that costs were only awarded against the Council if the Inspector felt that the authority had acted inappropriately or unreasonably. The Head of DM proceeded to commit to providing an update at the next meeting that set out the recent appeal costs that had been incurred by the Council.

DM.39/20      **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN**

The Committee was presented with a report that sought to adopt a Development Management Action Plan and noted that the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Panel would receive a progress update within the next six months;

In discussion, reference was made to:

- (a) consistency of approach with West Devon Borough Council. Whilst noting that a revised Planning Delegation Scheme was to be presented to the Council meeting on 17 December 2020 for adoption, Members recognised the benefits of the Scheme being the same as that for West Devon Borough Council;
- (b) the Section 106 Agreement process. Officers confirmed that it was intended for a Member Training session to be convened in the New Year on the Section 106 Agreement process;
- (c) outline planning permissions. In response to some concerns raised, officers committed to reviewing the matter of outline planning permissions;
- (d) the role of local town and parish councils in the planning process. The Committee was assured that local town and parish councils would continue to be consultees in the planning process;
- (e) the evolving nature of the Action Plan. Members noted that the Action Plan would be a living document that would evolve over the coming months and years;
- (f) the definition of 'significant or complex' applications. When questioned, officers confirmed that clarity around these definitions in the Action Plan would be forthcoming in due course.

It was then:

**RESOLVED**

1. That the Action Plan (as attached at Appendix A of the presented agenda report) be adopted; and
2. That it be noted that the Overview and Scrutiny Panel will receive a progress update on the Plan within the next six months.

(Meeting commenced at 10:00 am and concluded at 4.40 pm)

---

Chairman



**Voting Analysis for Planning Applications – DM Committee 2 December 2020**

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Vote</b>	<b>Cllrs who voted 'yes'</b>	<b>Cllrs who voted 'no'</b>	<b>Cllrs who 'abstained'</b>	<b>Absent</b>
1585/20/FUL	Land adjacent to Dartmouth Park and Ride Site, Dartmouth	Delegated approval to Head of DM, in consultation with Committee Chair	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Holway, Kemp, Long, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve and Rowe (10)	Cllr Hodgson (1)	Cllr Taylor (1)	Cllr Foss (1)
4063/19/FUL	Sandnes, Beadon Road, Salcombe	Delegated approval to Head of DM, in consultation with Committee Chair and local Ward Members	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Brown, Hodgson, Holway, Kemp, Pannell, Pringle, Reeve and Rowe (10)	Cllr Long (1)	Cllr Taylor (1)	Cllr Foss (1)
1770/20/FUL	Land at SX 726 406, Malborough	Refusal	Cllrs Brown, Holway, Kemp, Long, Pringle and Taylor (6)	Cllrs Abbott, Brazil, Reeve and Rowe (4)	0	Cllrs Foss, Hodgson and Pannell (3)
2840/20/FUL	Higher Hareston, Brixton	Conditional Approval	Cllrs Abbott, Brown, Holway, Kemp, Long, Pringle, Reeve, Rowe and Taylor (9)	0	Cllr Brazil (1)	Cllrs Foss, Hodgson and Pannell (3)